IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION No.25270 of 2004

Between:

Padi Thirupalaiah, S/o. Subbramaiah,
aged about 40 years, R/o. 1/37, G.M. Street,
Renigunta, Chittoor District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Rep. by Deputy Inspector General of Registration & Stamps,
Kurnool Range, Kurnool - 2.

2 The District Registrar of Assurances,
Sri Balaji Registration District, Tirupathi,
Chittoor District.

3 The Sub Registrar of Assurances,
Renigunta, Chittoor District.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in cancelling my licence through the proceedings dated 18-12-2003 as being illegal, arbitrary, violative of principles of promissory estoppel besides the same is suffers from vice of excessive delegation of power being violative of Articles 14, 19 (1)(g) and 21 of the Constitution of India, and consequently set aside the same.

For the Petitioner: MR. D. SESHADRI NAIDU, Advocate

For the Respondents: G.P. FOR REVENUE

The Court at the stage of admission made the following :

ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue appearing on behalf of the respondents and with their consent, the writ petition itself is disposed of at the stage of admission.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the second respondent by the impugned proceedings dated 18-12-2003, without issuing any show-cause notice and without giving an opportunity of hearing to him, cancelled his stamp vendor licence, and though he made representation on 27-12-2003 requesting the first respondent to revoke the impugned proceedings of the second respondent, no orders have been passed thereon. Hence, the writ petition.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the first respondent is directed to dispose of the representation, dated 27-12-2003, said to have been made by the petitioner, expeditiously in accordance with law.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of at the stage of admission.
No costs.

N.V. RAMANA, J

Date: 25-01-2005

Svv

To

1 The Deputy Inspector General of Registration & Stamps,
Government of Andhra Pradesh, Kurnool Range, Kurnool - 2.

2 The District Registrar of Assurances,
Sri Balaji Registration District, Tirupathi,
Chittoor District.

3 The Sub Registrar of Assurances,
Renigunta, Chittoor District.

4 Two C.Cs. to G.P. for Revenue, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (O.U.T.)

5 Two C.D. copies.